

The only future for glass industry will be “all-electric”

Presented at: 14th International Seminar on Furnace Design
Vsetin, Czech Republic

by René Meuleman

Executive summary

The burning of fossil fuel as an energy source in the glass melting process results in unavoidable carbon emissions, and improvements to traditional technology have reached their efficiency limits. Moving to electrical heating methods has many benefits including improved energy efficiency, more flexible control and less combustion related emissions. The aim of this paper is to stimulate glass manufacturers into re-thinking their existing melting technology and considering “all-electric” melting in the near future.

Introduction

Glass melting has been carried out for nearly 6000 years and for most of that time wood was used as the energy source. It was only comparatively recently in around 1880 that the industry began to use fossil fuels like oil and natural gas. At this time, the regenerator had already been invented to improve the efficiency of steel blast furnaces and this was soon adapted by the glass industry on the early side port furnaces, very similar to how we know them today. During those thousands of years of glass making, less than 150 years' worth of fossil fuels were used and it is possible that they will not be around for another 150 years. Although new fossil fuel resources have recently become available, the world has at last begun to understand that burning them results in unavoidable carbon emissions, and therefore this method must come to an end. Glass melting still needs to continue at this point in time because we have not yet discovered a viable replacement material. It is therefore likely that glass will be around for many centuries to come and that the inevitable future for a carbon efficient glass industry will be “all-electric”.

History

With no disrespect to past furnace design developments and the great achievements that have been made, they are mostly still based on original technology. Traditional side and end port furnaces are proven technology that has been developed and tweaked to a level of efficiency, low emissions and life time that simply cannot be improved any further. Since the efficiency level came down to 2.4 MWh/ton in around 1990, no big improvements have been achieved. Consequently further CO₂ and NO_x emission reductions slowed to halt as well. Oxy-fuel firing, batch pre-heating, waste heat recovery, submerged burners, etc. are great advances but the bottom line remains the same: they all increase the complexity of the melting system and CAPEX, do not avoid CO₂ emissions, and in most cases cannot reduce NO_x emissions any further. The use of fossil fuels has become the fundamental problem and technology cannot overcome these issues sufficiently.

The fuzziness of politics

Just like many other raw materials, as soon as we start believing that resources are coming to an end we find new ones. That is also applicable for fossil fuels. So why should we even start considering diverting from fossil fuels? Science has proven that CO₂ emissions are related to global warming which will likely lead to serious environmental issues for humanity. Legislation, customers and common sense will force the industry to step away from fossil fuel firing sooner or later. By 2050, the EU aim to cut greenhouse gas emissions to 80% below 1990 levels. Milestones to achieve this are 40% emissions cuts by 2030 and 60% by 2040. All sectors need to contribute. One famous Dutch beer brewer¹ is putting a lot effort into reducing its carbon footprint and estimates that 53% of this is related to their packaging material. The pressure to reduce emissions comes from many sides. No matter which side we agree or disagree with, it will impact how glass is melted in the future.

Technological evidence

Most glass melting furnace technology goes back 100 years or more. Over the years different developments have led to huge energy efficiency and emission improvements, and many furnace suppliers are still working on enhancements, forced by the fact that fossil fuel energy remains cheap. However, that will change, and assumingly much faster than many of us expect. As previously mentioned, most of those improvements implicate a more complex technology that results in additional maintenance and CAPEX, the use of non-environmentally friendly chemicals, and limitations to equipment lifespan. Most glass smelters perceive their melting process as complex enough and are not keen on modifying it further. They want to focus on their core business, without the issues of managing and maintaining complex industrial installations requiring

high numbers of technical personnel. Keeping the system simple has been a key argument for many decades. Now that the world around us seems to be changing rapidly our efforts to elongate the life time of furnaces up to +15 years is working against us. In fact most glass manufactures only have one opportunity every 10 to 15 years to introduce a new innovative melting process, so it is not surprising that having to live with that decision for the next 15 years makes them extremely risk averse. Who can blame them? It reminds me of a comment made by one of our customers: “In God we trust, but here you have to come with facts”. Technological research and development needs to provide evidence of improvements, otherwise politics forces us to rely on expectations.

Electrical heating

Electrically heated furnace technology is almost as old as regenerative furnace technology. In fact the first furnace patent on electrical melting was issued to Sauvageon in France, in 1907. A first successful cold top furnace ran in Norway from 1920 to 1925 using carbon electrodes and Cornelius in Sweden had operating furnaces as early as 1925, producing amber and green glass. In 1952 the industry started to use molybdenum electrodes², and in around 1975 high current SCR's (thyristors) became available leading to the principal of solid state furnace boosting systems we know today. Most modern traditional container, fiber and float furnaces are now equipped with electrical furnace boosting, contributing 10% to 50% of the melting power.

The efficiency of all-electric melting

Even in the early days, electric melting efficiency was already much better at 1.45 MWh/ton), compared to today's fossil fuel fired furnaces at 1.1 MWh/ton. Since the introduction of all-electric furnaces, huge efficiency improvements have been achieved, reducing energy usage levels to 0.78 MWh/ton (20% cullet) or less³. The power consumption is not likely to go below 0.717 MWh/ton. Most of the electrical power ends up in the melting process anyway and only relatively low energy losses come from transformer, busbar and control efficiency. Compared to traditional fossil full heating at 1.1 MWh/ton, energy use is around 34% less.

An electrical furnace is naturally easy to control and maintain but it is important to consider the engineering of the electrical system alongside the furnace design. Like a burner system for a traditional furnace, the electrical system is not a sub system but should be part of the total design and needs to be fully integrated. Bringing steelwork, refractory, cables, busbars, electrodes, transformers and control together in one design is essential for the efficiency success of the whole system.

Advantages of all-electric melting

Compared to high efficiency fossil fuel fired smelter systems, all-electric furnaces are sophisticated but very straight forward in terms of design. Regenerators or burner skirts are not required, and expensive high temperature crowns are not necessary. Higher pull rates can be achieved without any problems. No combustion related CO₂, thermal NO_x or SO_x emissions are released. Potentially less evaporation of volatile and expensive raw materials, like boron and lithium, etc. will occur, which makes exhaust filtering much easier. Also the carry-over problem will almost vanish. Smaller furnaces could be considered, for example, one furnace that feeds one forehearth which feeds one IS-machine, might become a new concept for bottle manufacturing.

Disadvantages of all-electric melting

Although all-electric furnace concepts are very simple in principle, there are some implications to consider when changing over to this technology. At room temperature glass or glass compositions are electrical insulators. In order to start the electrical heating process it needs to run through a pre-heating sequence

similar to the method used in container and float furnaces. An all-electric furnace also needs a stable, reliable power grid, and due to different melting and fining behaviors the glass composition needs to be changed. Electrical tariffs need to come down in price, and in order to lower the carbon footprint electricity would need come from renewables instead of coal fired power plants. Electrodes need to be maintained by advancing them in case wear leads to higher resistance. There are new methods to counter electrode wear, which would need to be investigated further. Another issue, especially for the container industry might be how this kind of furnace would handle extremely high amounts of cullet, which may result in different ways cullet and batch are managed.

Flexibility is required

Electrical power tariffs are strongly related to availability, and the electrical energy market is changing rapidly. Suppliers and utilities subsidized by government grants are investing in wind, bio-mass and solar power generation. Citizens also invest in solar panels instead of keeping their money at zero interest in banks. Buzz words such as “smart grid”, “tariff tweaking”, “peak shaving” and “frequency control” have become familiar terms, and it is recognized that money can be saved if our electrical energy consuming system becomes more flexible. In order to lower the risk of total grid failure some utilities offer money to be in control of huge industrial loads, to be able to temporarily switch them off when needed. More refined is the method of controlling the network’s frequency (Dynamic Fractional Frequency Reuse) by tweaking the power consumption of some massive power consumers. Basically electrical power consumers are financially rewarded if they make part of their electrical power consuming system available for remote power control. Lower peak power demand can lead to lower tariffs. In that case, a dynamic load management system capable of controlling parts of the electrical system to ensure that agreed peak power levels are not exceeded, will lower the overall cost of electrical energy.

A glass furnace, containing a huge amount of molten glass can or should be able to accommodate the flexibility needed to profit from these rewards, grants and lower electrical tariffs. Glass manufacturing, being part of the high energy consumer community and rapidly changing energy market, needs to look for furnace designs that better fit both today’s and tomorrow’s requirements. Sophisticated data analysis and (model based) control strategies should help operators to calculate the available freedom of control, allowable melting energy fluctuations, allowable fossil to electric ratio fluctuations and predict the impact on glass quality. The bottom line is that there is no escape from thinking ‘out of the box’ and stepping away from tradition.

Conclusion

As a supplier of process and electrical power supply control systems in this business for over 50 years, we consider ourselves to have a strong understanding of the glass industry’s requirements and concerns. For several years now we have been promoting the efficiency, financial and environmental benefits of moving to electric heating and have recently witnessed high levels of interest and growth in our SCR controlled power supply systems. The move to all-electric will not occur overnight, however the industry is beginning to listen and accept the implications of not starting. We still stick to our intuition that the efficient future for the glass industry will be all-electric, and we are thinking ahead about what needs to be done to eventually achieve that conceptual change. Let us have your feedback and perhaps involve us in your internal discussions on electrical heating power supplies and control systems with other enablers and innovators to make this happen: there is no escape and remember, all-electric has been around for +100 years already..

About the author

René Meuleman studied electrical engineering, then started his career in the paper industry as a technical assistant, before switching to the glass industry as an employee of Vereenigde Glasfabrieken. During his early years, he built his broad knowledge and experience through the design and development of electronic quality equipment for container glass manufacturing and was involved in the implementation of the company's first generation PLC and DCS systems, as well as electronic timing systems for IS-machines.

René worked on several model based predictive control (MPC) projects, as well as being involved in object oriented engineering method developments. He became responsible for process control inside the BSN group and finally was responsible for the European plant process control and forming electronics inside the Owens-Illinois group.

Ten years ago he left O-I and joined the Eurotherm by Schneider Electric group where he is responsible for technical and commercial glass business development. Based on the Eurotherm and Schneider-Electric portfolio and together with his global glass business team he works on the development of innovative, pragmatic and competitive glass manufacturing process and power control systems.

References:

1. Jan Kempers HEINEKEN: ON OUR WAY TO THE GREENEST BOTTLE
2. Handbook of Glass Manufacture 1960
3. Hubert de La Forest Divonne and Andy Reynolds Fives UK

Contact us

René Meuleman
Business Leader Global Glass
Eurotherm by Schneider Electric
Eurotherm Ltd
Faraday Close
Durrington
Worthing, West Sussex
BN13 3PL

<http://www.eurotherm.com/glass>